Exam MPRI

2019

Let *G* be a (two-player deterministic) mean-payoff game. The weights are integers and label edges. We let *W* denote the largest weight in absolute value. The mean-payoff objective is that the infimum limit of the weights seen along a path is non-negative (≥ 0).

Question 1: Prove that if Eve has a winning strategy, then she has a strategy that ensures that at all times, the total sum remains always larger than or equal to -nW. Is the value nW optimal?

Question 2: We construct a deterministic automaton *A*. The alphabet is the set of weights of *G*. The set of states is [-nW, nW] plus an extra sink rejecting state \bot and a sink accepting state \top . The automaton starts from the state 0 and stores the total sum of the weights, restricted to [-nW, nW]. The automaton rejects (goes to \bot) if the total sum goes below -nW. If the total sum goes above nW, it stays in \top . *Important!* **All** states except for \bot are accepting.

Construct a safety game over the synchronised product of *G* and *A* such that Eve has a winning strategy in the mean-payoff game *G* if and only if Eve has a winning strategy in the safety game $G \times A$.

Guestion 3: Construct an algorithm for solving mean-payoff games based on the construction above. Analyse its (time) complexity.

Guestion 4: Construct an algorithm for solving CoBüchi games based on the construction above. Analyse its (time) complexity.

Question 5: Let *k* be the number of different weights in the mean-payoff game *G*. In the similar way as above, construct an algorithm for solving mean-payoff games whose complexity is $O(mn^k)$.

Question 6: In the constructions above, can we avoid constructing explicitly the automaton *A* to have a better space complexity for solving mean-payoff games?