

Solutions Exam MPRI

2020

Let \mathcal{G} be a (two-player deterministic finite) reachability game. The underlying graph is $G = (V, E)$; we make the assumption that every vertex has at least one outgoing edge. We write $V = V_{\text{Eve}} \uplus V_{\text{Adam}}$ for the set of vertices controlled by Eve and Adam. The reachability objective is $\text{REACH}(F) = V^* F V^\omega$, i.e. the set of paths visiting $F \subseteq V$ at least once.

The goal of this problem is to construct efficient algorithms for computing $W_{\text{Eve}}(\text{REACH}(F))$, the set of vertices from which Eve has a winning strategy for the reachability objective. The important parameters here are n the number of vertices and m the number of edges.

For representation purposes, the game is given in the following way: for each vertex v , one bit describes whether it is controlled by Eve or Adam, and then we list all the successors of v .

The objective F is given as a boolean vector over V . To compute $W_{\text{Eve}}(\text{REACH}(F))$ we represent it as well using a boolean vector over V .

Question 1: We write $\mathcal{P}(V)$ for the set of subsets of V . Let us consider the operator $\mathbf{Pre}_F : \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(V)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{Pre}_F(X) = F \cup \{v \in V_{\text{Eve}} : \exists (v, v') \in E, v' \in X\} \cup \{v \in V_{\text{Adam}} : \forall (v, v') \in E, v' \in X\}.$$

Prove that \mathbf{Pre}_F is a monotone operator with respect to inclusion: if $X \subseteq X'$ then $\mathbf{Pre}_F(X) \subseteq \mathbf{Pre}_F(X')$.

Solution: “Oh come on!” is an acceptable answer.

Question 2: A prefixed point of \mathbf{Pre}_F is a set $X \subseteq V$ such that $\mathbf{Pre}_F(X) \subseteq X$. Prove that:

- (i) There exists a prefixed point of \mathbf{Pre}_F .
- (ii) $W_{\text{Eve}}(\text{REACH}(F))$ is a prefixed point of \mathbf{Pre}_F .
- (iii) The intersection of two prefixed points of \mathbf{Pre}_F is another prefixed point of \mathbf{Pre}_F .
- (iv) There exists a least prefixed point of \mathbf{Pre}_F .
- (v) $W_{\text{Eve}}(\text{REACH}(F))$ is the least prefixed point of \mathbf{Pre}_F .

Solution:

- (i) V is a fixed point of \mathbf{Pre}_F .
- (ii) Easy.
- (iii) Easy.
- (iv) Consequence of the previous point.
- (v) It is a fixed point. To prove the converse, consider the complement (done in the lecture).

Question 3: Construct an algorithm for computing $W_{\text{Eve}}(\text{REACH}(F))$ based on Knaster - Tarski fixed point theorem, and show that it has complexity $O(n \cdot m)$.

Solution: Knaster - Tarski fixed point theorem gives an algorithm for computing the least prefixed point: it says that the sequence $(\text{Pre}_F^k(\emptyset))_{k \geq 0}$ is eventually constant and its limit is the least prefixed point of Pre_F . For each k we construct $\text{Pre}_F^k(\emptyset)$ in a naive way, which has complexity $O(m)$. Since there are n iterations we get complexity $O(n \cdot m)$.

We want to improve the complexity to $O(n + m)$. Note that since every vertex has at least one outgoing edge, $n \leq m$, so this is actually $O(m)$.

Question 4: Prove that in time $O(m)$ we can get the following equivalent representation of the game: for each vertex v , one bit describes whether it is controlled by Eve or Adam, and then we list all the **predecessors** of v .

Solution: We go through all edges, and add them to the corresponding list.

Question 5: Prove that the algorithm given in pseudo-code below computes $W_{\text{Eve}}(\text{REACH}(F))$ and that its complexity is $O(m)$.

Algorithm 1: The linear time algorithm for reachability games.

Data: A reachability game.

Function $\text{Attractor}()$:

```

  A ← F
  for v ∈ VAdam do
    number-edges(v) ← number of outgoing edges of v
  k ← 1
  Xk ← F

  repeat
    for v ∈ Xk do
      Treat(v)
    k ← k + 1
  until Xk = Xk+1
  return A

```

Function $\text{Treat}(v)$:

```

  for e = (u, v) ∈ E do
    if u ∈ VAdam and u ∉ A then
      number-edges(u) ← number-edges(u) - 1
      if number-edges(u) = 0 then
        Add u to A
        Add u to Xk+1
    if u ∈ VEve and u ∉ A then
      Add u to A
      Add u to Xk+1

```

Solution: To prove correctness we need a suitable invariant: $X_k = \text{Pre}_F^k(\emptyset)$.

A vertex can be added to A at most once, implying that an edge can be considered at most once, so the complexity is $O(m)$.